



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/676,405	09/29/2000	WALTER W. BUTLER	K35A0458	1929

26332 7590 06/11/2003

WESTERN DIGITAL CORP.  
20511 LAKE FOREST DRIVE  
C205 - INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT  
LAKE FOREST, CA 92630

EXAMINER

CASTRO, ANGEL A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2653

DATE MAILED: 06/11/2003

15

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

52

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/676,405

Applicant(s)

BUTLER, WALTER W.

Examiner

Angel A. Castro

Art Unit

2653

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 April 2003.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other:

**DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is in response to Amendment C filed on 4/23/03.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang et al (U.S. Pat. 5,631,787).

Regarding claim 1, Huang discloses a disk drive with improved shrouding (figures 1-2) comprising:

- (a) a disk 106;
- (b) a spindle motor 200 for rotating the disk;
- (c) an actuator arm 112;
- (d) a head 114 coupled to a distal end of the actuator arm;
- (e) a rotary actuator 110 for rotating the actuator arm about a pivot to actuate the head radially over the disk;
- (f) a base 104; and

(g) a cover 204 attached to the base to form a head disk assembly chamber, wherein the disk, head, actuator arm, and rotary actuator are enclosed within the head disk assembly chamber, the cover comprising an inner surface and an outer surface. Huang further discloses a shroud 120, 122, extending axially from the inner surface of the base into the head disk assembly chamber substantially enveloping the outer periphery of the disk, including at least part of the outer periphery coextensive with the actuator arm when the actuator arm is positioned adjacent to the outer periphery of the disk, to provide radial shrouding of the disk. Huang also discloses that the shroud could be a separated piece adhered to the inner surface of the base. Huang also discloses that the shroud can be made an integral part of the base (column 6, lines 50-67, column 7, lines 1-12). Huang does not specifically disclose that the shroud is part of the cover. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the disk drive of Huang with the shroud located on the cover, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70. The rationale is as follows:

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to provide the disk drive of Huang with the shroud located on the cover, as doing this would reduce the manufacturing time.

3. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang in view of Krum et al (U.S. Pat. 6,351,344).

Regarding claim 4, Huang discloses the disk drive described above. Huang does not specifically disclose a C-shaped depression positioned substantially concentric over the disk. Krum et al teaches a disk drive with a cover having a C-shaped depression 126 (figures 4-5). It

Art Unit: 2653

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the disk drive of Huang with the cover having a C-shaped depression as taught by Krum. The rationale is as follows:

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to provide the disk drive of Huang with the cover having a C-shaped depression as taught by Krum, as doing this would allow for a high amount of rigidity of the cover.

#### ***Response to Arguments***

4. Applicant's arguments filed 4/23/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
5. In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See *In re McLaughlin*, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).

#### ***Conclusion***

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angel A. Castro whose telephone number is 703-308-8435. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 8 AM to 6 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William R. Korzuch can be reached on 703-305-6137. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-6037 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4750.

Angel Castro, Ph.D.  
June 9, 2003

A. J. HEINZ  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
GROUP 8500 A.U. 2653

